Decay constants

Malte Wilfert

Institut für Kernphysik - Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz

7th June 2017

7th June 2017

1 / 16

- Isospin symmetry
- Observation: Proton and neutron same mass
- Two representations of the same particle (nucleon) with different isospin
- Based on flavour independence of strong force, *u* and *d* mass nearly the same
- $m_u, m_d \ll m_{\rm baryon}$

SU(3) flavour symmetries

- Sorting the particle zoo
- Grouping by same spin *j* and parity *P*
- Sorted by isospin I_3 and hypercharge Y = B + S
- Charge given by $Q = I_3 + Y/2$
- Baryon decuplet wit $J^P = \frac{3}{2}^+$
- Baryon octet wit $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^+$
- Meson octets with $J^P = 1^-$ and 0^-





Malte Wilfert (KPH Mainz)

Helicity distributions

- The Δq can be expressed by $2MS_{\mu}\Delta q = \langle P, S | \bar{q}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}q | P, S \rangle$ for a proton with momentum P and spin S
- This allows to introduce the singlet and axial currents

$$J_{5\mu}^{0} = \bar{\psi}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}\psi \qquad \text{with} \quad \psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{d} \\ \psi_{d} \\ \psi_{s} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$J_{5\mu}^{i} = \bar{\psi}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}\frac{\lambda_{i}}{2}\psi$$

• Connected to the axial charges

$$egin{aligned} \langle P,S|J_{5\mu}^{0}|P,S
angle &= 2MS_{\mu}a_{0}\ \langle P,S|J_{5\mu}^{i}|P,S
angle &= MS_{\mu}a_{i} \end{aligned}$$

• These are connected to $F, D: a_3 = F + D, a_8 = 3F - D$

Lal. N

Cabibbo theory

- Lagrangian full weak interaction: $\mathcal{L}_W = \frac{G}{\sqrt{2}} J^\mu \times J^+_\mu$
- SU(3) symmetry: Three Noether currents

$$egin{aligned} V^{ ext{lept}}_{\lambda}(\Delta Q=0) \ V^{(1)}_{\lambda}+iV^{(2)}_{\lambda}(\Delta S=0,\Delta Q=1) \ V^{(4)}_{\lambda}+iV^{(5)}_{\lambda}(\Delta S=\Delta Q=1) \end{aligned}$$

- Cabibbo:
 - Ignore $\Delta S = -\Delta Q$ component
 - Ignore problems of normalisation of non-leptonic processes
 - Universality between leptonic current and only one hadronic current

$$V_{\lambda}^{(\text{had})} = a \left[V_{\lambda}^{(1)} + i V_{\lambda}^{(2)} \right] + a \left[V_{\lambda}^{(4)} + i V_{\lambda}^{(5)} \right]$$
$$1 = a^{2} + b^{2}$$

• Adding these hypothesis to the V-A formalism of weak interactions:

$$\begin{split} J_{\lambda}^{\text{lept}} &= \bar{\nu}_{\mu} \gamma_{\lambda} (1 - \gamma_{5}) \mu + \bar{\nu}_{e} \gamma_{\lambda} (1 - \gamma_{5}) e \\ J_{\lambda}^{\text{hadr}} &= \cos \theta \left[J_{\lambda}^{(1)} + i J_{\lambda}^{(2)} \right] + \sin \theta \left[J_{\lambda}^{(4)} + i J_{\lambda}^{(5)} \right] \\ J_{\lambda}^{(i)} &= V_{\lambda}^{(i)} - A_{\lambda}^{(i)} \end{split}$$

- θ : Cabibbo angle
- Octet of axial current A not conserved
- Octet normalisation are free parameters
- \bullet Vector currents: Normalisation fixed by strangeness non changing β decays

Cabbibo theory

•
$$J_{\mu}^{\text{hadr}}$$
 complicated in terms of individual baryon fields
 $J_{\mu}^{\text{hadr}} = \cos \theta \bar{p} \gamma_{\mu} \left[1 - (F + D) \gamma_{5} \right] n$
 $+ \sin \theta \left\{ -\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \bar{p} \gamma_{\mu} \left[1 - \left(F + \frac{1}{3}D\right) \gamma_{5} \right] \Lambda \right\}$
 $+ \sin \theta \left\{ -\bar{n} \gamma_{\mu} \left[1 - (F - D) \gamma_{5} \right] \Sigma^{-} - \bar{\Sigma}^{+} \gamma_{\mu} \left[1 - (F + D) \gamma_{5} \right] \Xi^{0} \right\}$
 $+ \sin \theta \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \bar{\Lambda} \gamma_{\mu} \left[1 - \left(F - \frac{1}{3}D\right) \gamma_{5} \right] \Xi^{-} \right\}$
 $+ \dots$

• In terms of quarks:

$$egin{aligned} &J_\lambda = \cos heta \left[ar{u} \gamma_\lambda (1-\gamma_5) (d+ an heta s)
ight] \ &= ar{u} \gamma_\lambda (1-\gamma_5) d_C \end{aligned}$$

• Cabibbo angle: mixing angle

э

- Combinations of F, D in the β decays
- Two examples for their determination

Decay	Combination	Measurement
$n ightarrow pe^- ar{ u}$	F + D	1.2723 ± 0.0023
$\Lambda o p e^- ar{ u}$	$F + \frac{D}{3}$	0.718 ± 0.015
$\Sigma^- ightarrow ne^- ar{ u}$	$F-\check{D}$	-0.340 ± 0.017
$\Xi^- ightarrow \Lambda e^- ar{ u}$	$F-\frac{D}{3}$	0.25 ± 0.05
$\Xi^0 ightarrow \Sigma^+ e^- ar{ u}$	$F+\check{D}$	1.22 ± 0.05

э

A B A B A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

Neutron β decay

- Measure neutron β asymmetry
- Decay probability per time unit for emission of electron from polarised neutrons:

$$d\Gamma = (1 + \frac{v}{c} PA \cos \theta) d\Gamma(E)$$

- Neutron polarisation P
- θ : emission angle relative to the polarisation
- $d\Gamma(E)$: decay rate for unpolarised neutrons
- A: energy dependent, correction needed (recoil, induced currents)
- Largest deviation from A_0 smaller 1%

•
$$A_0 = -2\lambda(\lambda - 1)/(1 + 3\lambda^2)$$

• $\lambda = |g_A/g_V|$

Neutron β decay



- $\bullet~57\,\mathrm{MW}$ reactor in Grenoble, cold neutrons
- Measured polarisation P > 97%
- Regular spin rotation (every $15 \,\mathrm{s}$)
- Decay electrons contained by magnetic field

Neutron - β decay

- Measure electron counting rate for two polarisations
- Result from $150 \,\mathrm{h}$ of data
- $A_0 = -0.1146 \pm 0.0019 \rightarrow g_A/g_V = -1.262 \pm 0.005$



FIG. 2. β -decay energy spectrum from one run. Spectra for both polarization states are added and the solid curve is a fit to the resolution-corrected Fermi shape. The measured point at lowest energy is just at the threshold of the detectors.



FIG. 3. Experimental β asymmetry as a function of β energy. The data for both detectors for all runs taken over a 150-h period are combined in the graph. The solid curve is the theoretical prediction after accounting for detector response.

June 2017

11 / 16

• Matrix element for the decay

$$M = G/\sqrt{2} \langle p | J^{\mu} | \Lambda \rangle \bar{u}_e(p_e) \gamma_{\mu} (1 + \gamma_5 u_{\nu}(p_{\nu}))$$

Hadronic part:

$$\langle p | J^{\mu} | \Lambda \rangle = \bar{u}_{p} [g_{\nu}(q^{2}) \gamma^{\mu} g_{w}(q^{2}) \sigma^{\mu\nu} q_{\nu} M_{\Lambda} + g_{a}(q^{2}) \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} + g_{2}(q^{2}) \sigma^{\mu\nu} q_{\nu} \gamma_{5} / M_{\Lambda}] u_{\Lambda} \sin \theta_{C}$$

- Vector/Axial coupling g_v, g_a
- Assume usual q^2 dependence: $g_{a/v}(q^2) = g_{v,a}(0)[1 + 2(q/M_{v,a})^2]$
- Weak-magnetism term $g_w(q^2) = g_w(0)$
- Second class term $g_2 = 0$, neglect terms of order M_e^2/M_{Λ}^2

• Differential decay rate

$$\frac{d^{2}\Gamma}{dE_{e}^{*}d\cos\theta_{e\nu}^{*}} = W(E_{e}^{*},\cos\theta_{e\nu}^{*}) \cdot T(E_{e}^{*},\cos\theta_{e\nu}^{*},\frac{g_{a}(0)}{g_{\nu}(0)},\frac{g_{w}(0)}{g_{\nu}(0)})$$

- W contains kinematics
- $\bullet~{\cal T}$ contains dependence on weak magnetism and axial-vector coupling constants
- Variables in the Λ rest frame

7th June 2017

13 / 16

 $\Lambda - \beta$ decay



- $\bullet~400\,{\rm GeV}$ CERN SPS proton beam
- $\bullet~$ Be target, $2.3\,\mathrm{T}$ magnet, decay region
- MWPC, scintilation counters, Cherenkov counter, lead glass array

Malte Wilfert (KPH Mainz)

7th June 2017 14 / 16

- Four particles involved in $\Lambda
 ightarrow pe^- ar{
 u}$
- p, e^- fully reconstructed in spectrometer
- A: direction known but not the magnitude
- $\bar{\nu}$ not observed
- In centre of mass system: Two solutions for magnitude of p_{Λ} \rightarrow Two solutions for $\cos \theta_{e\nu}^*$ (missing longitudinal component of p_{ν})
- Approximation (neglect q^2 variation)

$$T = [g_{\nu}(0)]^{2} [T_{1}(1 - \cos \theta_{e\nu}^{*}) + T_{2}(1 + \cos \theta_{e\nu}^{*})]$$
$$T_{1} = Y^{2} [2(E_{e}^{*} - E_{\nu}^{*})] X Y / M_{\Lambda} + \left[\frac{1}{2}(2 + r)^{2}\right]$$
$$T_{2} = 1 + Y^{2}$$

•
$$r = M_p/M_\Lambda, Y = \frac{g_a(0)}{g_v(0)}, w = \frac{g_w}{g_v(0)}, X = 1 + (1+r)w$$

- Angular distributions depend on $g_a(0)/g_v(0)$
- Compare with results from MC simulation
- $g_a(0)/g_v(0) = 0.720 + 0.018$
- Assume decay sequence: $\Lambda
 ightarrow Q ar{
 u}$, Q
 ightarrow pe
- $g_a(0)/g_v(0) = 0.719 + 0.016$



Malte Wilfert (KPH Mainz)

- Angular distributions depend on $g_a(0)/g_v(0)$
- Compare with results from MC simulation
- $g_a(0)/g_v(0) = 0.720 + 0.018$
- Assume decay sequence: $\Lambda
 ightarrow Q ar{
 u}$, Q
 ightarrow pe
- $g_a(0)/g_v(0) = 0.719 + 0.016$



F,D

- Angular distributions depend on $g_a(0)/g_v(0)$
- Compare with results from MC simulation
- $g_a(0)/g_v(0) = 0.720 + 0.018$
- Assume decay sequence: $\Lambda
 ightarrow Q ar{
 u}$, Q
 ightarrow pe
- $g_a(0)/g_v(0) = 0.719 + 0.016$



×