



Themenliste für das Bachelor Seminar (WiSe 2013)

Thema des Seminars:

Common Pool Resources und Eigentumsrechte

Bitte verstehen Sie die angegebene Literatur als Einstiegstexte in Ihr Thema. Wir gehen davon aus, dass im Laufe der Themenbearbeitung weitere Literatur finden und auswerten!

Thema 1: Was ist die "Tragik der Allmende"?

Dietz, Thomas; Ostrom, Elinor; Stern, Paul C. (2003): The Struggle to Govern the Commons. *Science* 302 (5652) pp. 1907-1912 (DOI: 10.1126/science.1091015)

Hardin, Garrett (1968): The Tragedy of the Commons. *Science* 162 (3859), pp. 1243-1248 (DOI: 10.1126/science.162.3859.1243)

McAfee, R.; Miller, A. D. (2012): The tradeoff of the commons. *Journal Of Public Economics* 96 (3/4), pp. 349-353. doi:10.1016/j.jpubeco.2011.12.003

Ostrom, Elinor (1999): *Governing the commons. The evolution of institutions for collective action*. 1. Aufl. Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press (Political economy of institutions and decisions). [Darin Kapitel 1 und 2]

Thema 2: Was sind Eigentumsrechte und inwieweit sind sie die Lösung des Problems?

Coase, R. H. (1960): The Problem of Social Cost. In: *Journal of Law and Economics* 3, pp. 1–44. Online verfügbar ter <http://www.jstor.org/stable/724810>.

Fachbereich 03
Rechts- und Wirtschaftswissenschaften

Lehrstuhl für Volkswirtschaftslehre,
insb. Public Economics

Prof. Dr. Daniel Schunk

Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz
(JGU)
Jakob-Welder-Weg 4
D 55128 Mainz

Tel. +49 6131 39-27297
Fax +49 6131 39-27695

Ls_Schunk@uni-mainz.de

www.public.economics.uni-mainz.de

Demsetz, Harold (1967): TOWARD A THEORY OF PROPERTY RIGHTS. In: *American Economic Review* 57 (2), pp. 347-359.

Schlager, Edella; Ostrom, Elinor (1992): Property-Rights Regimes and Natural Resources: A Conceptual Analysis. In: *Land Economics* 68 (3), pp. 249–262. Online verfügbar unter <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3146375>.

Thema 3: China – Unklare Eigentumsrechte?

Le, Nhat (2004): Contingent Delegation and Ambiguous Property Rights: The Case of China's Reform. In: *Annales d'Economie et de Statistique* (75-76), pp. 309–329.

Li, David D. (1996): A Theory of Ambiguous Property Rights in Transition Economies: The Case of the Chinese Non-State Sector. In: *Journal of Comparative Economics* 23 (1), pp. 1–19.

Liu, Yuting; He, Shenjing; Wu, Fulong; Webster, Chris (2010): Urban villages under China's rapid urbanization: Unregulated assets and transitional neighbourhoods. In: *Habitat International* 34 (2), pp. 135–144.

Zhu, Jieming (2005): A Transitional Institution for the Emerging Land Market in Urban China. In: *Urban Studies* 42 (8), pp. 1369–1390.

Zhu, Jieming (2002): Urban Development under Ambiguous Property Rights: A Case of China's Transition Economy. In: *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research* 26 (1), pp. 41–57.

Thema 4: Was begünstigt erfolgreiches CPR Management?

Acheson, James M.; Knight, Jack (2000): Distribution Fights, Coordination Games, and Lobster Management. In: *Comparative Studies in Society and History* 42 (1), pp. 209–238. Online verfügbar unter <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2696639>.

Jumbe, Charles B. L.; Angelsen, Arild (2007): Forest dependence and participation in CPR management: Empirical evidence from forest co-management in Malawi. In: *Ecological Economics* 62 (3–4), pp. 661–672.

Ostrom, Elinor (1999): *Governing the commons. The evolution of institutions for collective action*. 1. Aufl. Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press (Political economy of institutions and decisions). [Darin Kapitel 3]

Thema 5: Welche Faktoren führen zum Scheitern von CPRs ?

Campbell, Bruce; Mandondo, Alois; Nemarundwe, Nontokozi; Sithole, Bevlyne; JonG, Wil de; Luckert, Marty; Matose, Frank (2001): Challenges to Proponents of Common Property Resource Systems: Despairing Voices from the Social Forests of Zimbabwe. In: *World Development* 29 (4), pp. 589–600.

Jumbe, Charles B. L.; Angelsen, Arild (2007): Forest dependence and participation in CPR management: Empirical evidence from forest co-management in Malawi. In: *Ecological Economics* 62 (3–4), pp. 661–672.

Mhlanga, Lindah (2009): Fragmentation of resource governance along the shoreline of Lake Kariba, Zimbabwe. In: *Development Southern Africa* 26 (4), pp. 585–596.

Ostrom, Elinor (1999): *Governing the commons. The evolution of institutions for collective action*. 1. Aufl. Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press (Political economy of institutions and decisions). [Darin Kapitel 5]

Thema 6: Wie beeinflussen Rechte am geistigen Eigentum den wissenschaftlichen Austausch?

Aldo Geuna; Rossi, Federica (2011): Changes to university IPR regulations in Europe and the impact on academic patenting. *Research Policy* 40 (8), pp. 1068 – 1076 (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2011.05.008>)

Azoulay, Pierre; Ding, Waverly; Stuart, Toby (2009): "THE IMPACT OF ACADEMIC PATENTING ON THE RATE, QUALITY AND DIRECTION OF (PUBLIC) RESEARCH OUTPUT." *The Journal of Industrial Economics* 57 (4), pp. 637-676.

Bentwich, Miriam (2010): Changing the rules of the game: addressing the conflict between free access to scientific discovery and intellectual property rights. *Nature biotechnology* 28 (2), pp. 137 – 140. Online at: http://psgsc.wisc.edu/wp-content/uploads/2012/09/Bentwich_Patent-and-IP.pdf

Gans, Joshua S.; Murray, Fiona, Stern; Scott (2010): "Contracting over the disclosure of scientific knowledge: Intellectual property and academic publication." *Unpublished manuscript, Massachusetts Institute of Technology* Online at: <http://www.utexas.edu/law/journals/tlr/sources/Volume%2091/Issue%202/Burstein/SP/Burstein.fn128.GansMurrayStern.ContractingOverTheDisclosure.pdf>

Murray, Fiona; Stern, Scott (2007): Do formal intellectual property rights hinder the free flow of scientific knowledge?: An empirical test of the anti-commons hypothesis. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 63 (4), pp. 648–687.
(<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jebo.2006.05.017>)

Thema 7: “Framing” von Eigentumsrechten und CPR Entscheidungen. Alles eine Sache der Perspektive?

Bougherara, Douadia; Denant-Boemont, Laurent; Masclet, David (2011): Cooperation and framing effects in provision point mechanisms: Experimental evidence. *Ecological Economics* 70 (6), pp. 1200–1210. (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2011.01.023>)

de Heus, Peter; Niek Hoogervorst and Eric van Dijk (2010): Framing prisoners and chickens: Valence effects in the prisoner’s dilemma and the chicken game. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology* 46 (5), pp. 736–742.
(<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2010.04.013>)

van Dijk, Eric; Wilke, Henk (1997): Is It Mine or Is It Ours? Framing Property Rights and Decision Making in Social Dilemmas. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes* 71 (2), pp. 195–209. (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/obhd.1997.2718>)

Thema 8: Wandel von Eigentumsrechten in geschichtlicher und geographischer Perspektive.

Alston, L. J.; Harris, E.; Mueller, B. (2012): The Development of Property Rights on Frontiers: Endowments, Norms, and Politics. *Journal Of Economic History* 72(3), pp. 741-770.

Alston, Lee J.; Libecap, Gary D.; Mueller, Bernado (1997): Violence and the Development of Property Rights to Land in the Brazilian Amazon. In: Drobak, John N.: *The frontiers of the new institutional economics*. Academic Press: San Diego, Calif., pp. 145 – 164.

Ensminger, Jean (1997): Changing Property Rights: Reconciling Formal and Informal Rights to land in Africa. In: Drobak, John N.: *The frontiers of the new institutional economics*. Academic Press: San Diego, Calif., pp. 165 – 196.

Sorensen, André (2010): Land, property rights, and planning in Japan: institutional design and institutional change in land management. *Planning Perspectives* 25 (3), pp. 279-302.

Thema 9: Wälder als globale CPR – Wie beeinflussen Eigentumsrechte ihr Management?

Angelsen, A.; Rudel, T. K. (2013): Designing and Implementing Effective REDD + Policies: A Forest Transition Approach. *Review Of Environmental Economics And Policy* 7(1), pp. 91-113.

Hobbs, B. K. (2012): Protectionism and Property Rights: Coasian Bargaining and Debt-for-Nature Swaps. *Economic Affairs (Institute Of Economic Affairs)* 32(2), pp. 68-73.

Palmer, C. (2011): Property Rights and Liability for Deforestation under REDD+: Implications for 'Permanence' in Policy Design. *Ecological Economics* 70(4), pp. 571-576. (doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2010.10.011>)

Pearce, D. (2004): Environmental market creation: saviour or oversell?. *Portuguese Economic Journal* 3(2), pp. 115-144. doi:10.11007/s10258-004-0034-y

Thema 10: Fischbestände als globale CPR – Wie beeinflussen Eigentumsrechte ihr Management?

Bailey, Megan; Sumaila, U. Rashid; Lindroos, Marko (2010): Application of game theory to fisheries over three decades. *Fisheries Research* 102.1, pp. 1-8.

McWhinnie, Stephanie F. (2009): The tragedy of the commons in international fisheries: An empirical examination. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* 57(3), pp. 321-333.

Munro, Gordon R. (2007): Internationally shared fish stocks, the high seas, and property rights in fisheries. *Marine Resource Economics* 22 (4), pp. 425 - 443.

Thema 11: Wem gehört das Leben? Gene, Pharmaindustrie, Agrarunternehmen und Eigentumsrechte.

Coleman, William D.; Reed, Austinal (2011): Plant Genetic Resources, Farmers' Rights, and the Globalization of Intellectual Property Rights: Reinforcing Asymmetries in Autonomies. In: Coleman, William D. (Ed.): *Property, Territory, Globalization: Struggles Over Autonomy*, UCB Press: Vancouver, pp. 172 - 194. [Dieses Buch ist in der UB Mainz nicht vorhanden, bitte wenden Sie sich an Marlene Waske.]

Elliott, L. M. (2009): Property Rights of Ancient DNA: The Impact of Cultural Importance on the Ownership of Genetic Information. *International Journal Of Cultural Property* 16(2), pp. 103-129.

Gopalan, R. (2009): Bioinformatics: Scope of Intellectual Property Protection. *Journal Of Intellectual Property Rights* 14(1), pp. 46-51.

Paradise, J., Andrews, L.; Holbrook, T. (2005): Patents on human genes: an analysis of scope and claims. *Science* 307(5715), pp. 1566-1567.

Swanson, Timothy; Göschl, Timo (2000): Property rights issues involving plant genetic resources: implications of ownership for economic efficiency. *Ecological Economics* 32 (1), pp. 75–92. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8009\(99\)00072-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8009(99)00072-5)