Problem set 3

Consumption, budget constraints, the permanent income hypothesis, and distortionary taxation

Markus Roth

Chair for Macroeconomics Johannes Gutenberg Universität Mainz

June 7, 2010





1 / 27

June 7, 2010

• • • • • • • • • • • • • •

Problem set 3

Contents

- 1 Problem 1 (Budget constraints)
- 2 Problem 2 (Consumption)
- **3** Problem 3 (Permanent income hypothesis)
- **4** Problem 4 (Optimal taxation)



Problem set 3

Contents

1 Problem 1 (Budget constraints)

Problem 2 (Consumption)

3 Problem 3 (Permanent income hypothesis)

4 Problem 4 (Optimal taxation)



Problem set 3

From period to lifetime budget constraint

• The period budget constraint is given by

$$c_t + a_{t+1} = (1+r)a_t + x_t.$$

• We rearrange this equation

$$a_t = \frac{1}{1+r} \left(c_t - x_t + a_{t+1} \right).$$

• Forwarding this expression one period yields

$$a_{t+1} = \frac{1}{1+r} \left(c_{t+1} - x_{t+1} + a_{t+2} \right).$$

• We now plug this equation into (1)

$$a_{t} = \frac{1}{1+r} \left[c_{t} - x_{t} + \frac{1}{1+r} \left(c_{t+1} - x_{t+1} + a_{t+2} \right) \right] \cdot \frac{v_{t}}{u_{\text{INVERSITATION OF UNIVERSITATION OF U$$

Markus Roth (Macroeconomics 2)

June 7, 2010 4 / 27

(PB)

(1)

Iterated substitution

• Rewriting this gives

$$a_t = \frac{1}{1+r} (c_t - x_t) + \left(\frac{1}{1+r}\right)^2 (c_{t+1} - x_{t+1} + a_{t+2})$$

- We could then forward (1) one more period to substitute a_{t+2} .
- However, we can already see how the expression evolves when we repeat this procedure an infinite number of times, the result is

$$a_{t} = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+r}\right)^{s+1} \left(c_{t+s} - x_{t+s}\right) + \underbrace{\lim_{s \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+r}\right)^{s+1} a_{t+s+1}}_{=0 \text{ (by assumption)}}.$$

No-ponzi game condition

• The condition

$$\lim_{s \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+r}\right)^{s+1} a_{t+s+1} = 0$$

is called *no-ponzi game condition*.

• Multiplying by 1 + r and rearranging yields the lifetime budget constraint

$$\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+r}\right)^{s} c_{t+s} = (1+r)a_{t} + \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+r}\right)^{s} x_{t+s}.$$
 (LB1)

June 7, 2010

6 / 27

• From the lifetime budget constraint we can derive the period budget constraint again.

From lifetime- to period budget constraint

• We rewrite the lifetime budget contraint (LB1) as

$$a_t = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+r}\right)^{s+1} (c_{t+s} - x_{t+s}).$$

• Now, we "extract" $c_t - x_t$ from the infinite sum

$$a_{t} = \frac{1}{1+r} \left(c_{t} - x_{t} \right) + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+r} \right)^{s+1} \left(c_{t+s} - x_{t+s} \right).$$

Rewriting this gives

$$a_{t} = \frac{1}{1+r} (c_{t} - x_{t}) + \frac{1}{1+r} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+r} \right)^{s+1} (c_{t+1+s} - x_{t+1+s}).$$

June 7, 2010 7 / 27

Back to the period budget constraint

• Substituting a_{t+1} gives

$$a_t = rac{1}{1+r}(c_t - x_t) + rac{1}{1+r}a_{t+1}.$$

• Multiplying by 1 + r and rearranging yields the period budget constraint

$$c_t + a_{t+1} = (1+r)a_t + x_t.$$
 (PB)

Image: A matrix and a matrix



8 / 27

June 7, 2010

Problem 2 (Consumption)

Contents

1 Problem 1 (Budget constraints)

2 Problem 2 (Consumption)

3 Problem 3 (Permanent income hypothesis)

4 Problem 4 (Optimal taxation)



Problem 2 (Consumption)

Maximization problem

• The representative household maximizes

$$\max_{\{c_{t+s}\}_{s=0}^{\infty}} \mathbb{E}_t \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \beta^s U(c_{t+s})$$

subject to

$$c_t + a_{t+1} = (1+r)a_t + x_t.$$

with period utility function

$$U(c_{t+s}) = c_{t+s} - \frac{\alpha}{2}c_{t+s}^2$$

• The Lagrangian to this problem is

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{E}_t \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \beta^s U(c_{t+s}) + \lambda_{t+s} \left[(1+r)a_{t+s} + x_{t+s} - c_{t+s} + a_{t+s} \right] \right\}_{\text{weight Gurmer Gur$$

Markus Roth (Macroeconomics 2)

June 7, 2010 10 / 27

A B A B A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

(PB)

FOCs

• The first order conditions to the problem are

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial c_{t+s}} = \mathbb{E}_t \left[\beta^s \left(1 - \alpha c_{t+s} \right) - \lambda_{t+s} \right] \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \tag{I}$$
$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial a_{t+s+1}} = \mathbb{E}_t \left(\lambda_{t+s} - (1+r)\lambda_{t+s+1} \right) \stackrel{!}{=} 0. \tag{II}$$

• Substituting the λ s in (II) by an expression obtained from (I) gives

$$\mathbb{E}_t \left[\beta^s \left(1 - \alpha c_{t+s}\right)\right] = (1+r)\mathbb{E}_t \left[\beta^{s+1} \left(1 - \alpha c_{t+s+1}\right)\right]$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \mathbb{E}_t \left[(1 - \alpha c_{t+s})\right] = (1+r)\beta\mathbb{E}_t \left[(1 - \alpha c_{t+s+1})\right].$$



Markus Roth (Macroeconomics 2)

June 7, 2010 11 / 27

Euler equation

• Writing the expression for period t yields the Euler equation

$$(1 - \alpha c_t) = (1 + r)\beta \mathbb{E}_t \left[(1 - \alpha c_{t+1}) \right].$$

- When does expected consumption rise, i.e. when ist the gross growth rate $\mathbb{E}_t c_{t+1}/c_t > 1$?
- Note that (omitting the expectations operator for a moment)

$$rac{c_{t+1}}{c_t} = (1+g_c) > 1 ext{ if } g_c > 0$$
 $rac{c_{t+1}-c_t}{c_t} = rac{c_{t+1}}{c_t} - 1 = g_c,$

where g_c is the growth rate and 1 + g is the gross growth rate of consumption.

• g_c is positive if $c_{t+1} > c_t$.

Markus Roth (Macroeconomics 2)

June 7, 2010 12 / 27

Problem 2 (Consumption)

When does expected consumption rise?

• The Euler equation in rewritten form is

$$\mathbb{E}_t \frac{1 - \alpha c_{t+1}}{1 - \alpha c_t} = \frac{1}{(1+r)\beta} \stackrel{\leq}{\leq} 1.$$

- The expected growth rate is positive if $(1 + r)\beta > 1$.
- The expected growth rate is negative if $(1 + r)\beta < 1$.
- The expected growth rate is zero if $(1 + r)\beta = 1$.



5 1 N Q Q

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨ

Contents

1 Problem 1 (Budget constraints)

Problem 2 (Consumption)

3 Problem 3 (Permanent income hypothesis)

4 Problem 4 (Optimal taxation)



Markus Roth (Macroeconomics 2)

Problem set 3

June 7, 2010 14 / 27

Euler equation

• For simplicity we set $(1+r)\beta = 1$, the Euler equation as of period t is

$$c_t = \mathbb{E}_t c_{t+1}.$$

• Iterating forward we have

$$c_t = \mathbb{E}_t c_{t+1} = \mathbb{E}_t \mathbb{E}_{t+1} c_{t+2} = \mathbb{E}_t c_{t+2} = \cdots = \mathbb{E}_t c_i = \cdots$$

- As we have already found in problem 2, expected consumption is constant over time.
- The lifetime budget constraint is

$$\mathbb{E}_t \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+r}\right)^i c_i = (1+r)a_0 + \mathbb{E}_t \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+r}\right)^i x_i.$$
 (LB2)

Substituting the Euler equation gives

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+r}\right)^{i} c_{t} = (1+r)a_{0} + \mathbb{E}_{t} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+r}\right)^{i} x_{i}.$$

Markus Roth (Macroeconomics 2)

June 7, 2010 15 / 27

Solving for c_t

• Note that c_t does not depend on i, thus we can pull it out of the sum

Change in consumption

- Note that r/(1 + r) is the marginal propensity to consume.
- It tells us by how much current consumption is increased when lifetime wealth changes.
- Compare it to c_1 in the traditional Keynesian consumption function

$$C=c_0+c_1Y.$$

• We derive the change in consumption simply be substracting c_{t-1} from c_t

$$\Delta c_t = \frac{r}{1+r} \left[\mathbb{E}_t \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+r} \right)^i x_i - \mathbb{E}_{t-1} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+r} \right)^i x_i \right].$$

June 7, 2010

17 / 27

Change in the information set

• We have derived

$$\Delta c_t = \frac{r}{1+r} \left[\mathbb{E}_t \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+r} \right)^i x_i - \mathbb{E}_{t-1} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+r} \right)^i x_i \right].$$

- Consumption changes (Δc_t ≠ 0) if an *unexpected* change in lifetime income has ocurred.
- This means if the lifetime income expected as of period t is different from the same lifetime income expected as of period t 1 the household changes consumption.
- Expected changes in income do *not* influence household's consumption decision.



18 / 27

June 7, 2010

Random walk income

• Consider income follows a random walk

 $x_t = x_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t.$

• Start with period 0

 $x_1 = x_0 + \varepsilon_1.$

Iterating forward and plugging one equation into the other yields

$$x_2 = x_0 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_1.$$

Doing this repeatedly gives

$$x_i = x_0 + \sum_{j=1}^i \varepsilon_j.$$



Expected change in lifetime in income

• Now, we compute expectations as of period t and t-1

$$\mathbb{E}_t x_i = x_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{r} \varepsilon_j$$

t

and

$$\mathbb{E}_{t-1}x_i = x_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} \varepsilon_j.$$

- Note that $\mathbb{E}_t \varepsilon_t = \varepsilon_t$, $\mathbb{E}_{t-1} \varepsilon_t = 0$.
- Plugging this result into the expression for Δc_t gives

$$\Delta c_t = \frac{r}{1+r} \left[\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+r} \right)^i \varepsilon_t \right]$$

Markus Roth (Macroeconomics 2)

The expression for Δc_t

• Since ε_t does not depend on *i*, we can write this as

$$\Delta c_t = \frac{r}{1+r} \frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{1+r}} \varepsilon_t = \frac{r}{1+r} \frac{1+r}{r} \varepsilon_t = \varepsilon_t.$$

- If income follows a random walk, a shock to income has a one to one impact on consumption.
- Note however, that we assumed that income follows a random walk, i.e. that shocks to income last forever and are thus very persistent.



21 / 27

June 7, 2010

Problem 4 (Optimal taxation)

Contents

1 Problem 1 (Budget constraints)

2 Problem 2 (Consumption)

3 Problem 3 (Permanent income hypothesis)

4 Problem 4 (Optimal taxation)



Distortionary taxes

- A tax is said to be distortionary if it changes the consumption decision.
- This means that a tax is distortionary if the relationship of consumption levels between two periods is affected by the tax.
- Thus, we analyze the Euler equation to decide if the tax is distortionary.
- In principle we could tax many things such as assets, the interest rate, or income.
- In this problem we consider a consumption tax τ_c .



23 / 27

June 7, 2010

Problem 4 (Optimal taxation)

The problem

• The consumer maximizes

$$\mathbb{E}_t \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \beta^s \frac{c_{t+s}^{1-\sigma} - 1}{1-\sigma}$$

subject to

$$(1 + \tau_c)c_t + a_{t+1} = (1 + r)a_t + x_t.$$
 (TPB)

• The Lagrangian to this problem is

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{E}_t \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \beta^s \left\{ \frac{c_{t+s}^{1-\sigma} - 1}{1-\sigma} \right\}$$

+
$$\lambda_{t+s} [(1+r)a_{t+s} + x_{t+s} - (1+\tau_c)c_{t+s} - a_{t+s+1}]$$

Markus Roth (Macroeconomics 2)

June 7, 2010 24 / 27

UNIVERSITÄT

FOCs

• The first order conditions are

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial c_{t+s}} = \mathbb{E}_t \beta^s \left[c_{t+s}^{-\sigma} - \lambda_{t+s} (1+\tau_c) \right] \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \tag{I}$$
$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial a_{t+s+1}} = \mathbb{E}_t \left(-\beta^s \lambda_{t+s} + \beta^{s+1} (1+r) \lambda_{t+s+1} \right) \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \tag{II}$$

• Rewriting (I) gives

.

$$\mathbb{E}_t \lambda_{t+s} = \mathbb{E}_t \frac{c_{t+s}^{-\sigma}}{1+\tau_c} \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{E}_t \lambda_{t+s+1} = \mathbb{E}_t \frac{c_{t+s+1}^{-\sigma}}{1+\tau_c}$$

• Plugging this into (II) gives

$$\mathbb{E}_t \frac{c_{t+s}^{-\sigma}}{1+\tau_c} = (1+r)\beta\mathbb{E}_t \frac{c_{t+s+1}^{-\sigma}}{1+\tau_c}.$$



ъ

Euler equation

- $1 + \tau_c$ cancels on both sides.
- We write the Euler equation for period t

$$c_t^{-\sigma} = (1+r)\beta \mathbb{E}_t c_{t+1}^{-\sigma}.$$

- The tax τ_c does not appear.
- The Euler equation does not change compared to a situation without the consumption tax $\tau_{\rm c}.$
- Thus, the consumption tax is not distortionary.



References

References

Wälde, K. (2009). Applied Intertemporal Optimization. Lecture Notes, University of Mainz, Available at http://www.waelde.com/aio.

Wickens, M. (2008).
 Macroeconomic Theory: A Dynamic General Equilibrium Approach.
 Princeton University Press.



27 / 27

June 7, 2010