

1 Life is live

Individuals live their life from birth at time $\tau = 0$ until death at time T and experience utility given by

$$U(T) \equiv \int_0^T \theta(\tau)u(c(\tau))d\tau,$$

where $u(c(\tau))$ is explicitly given by

$$u(c(\tau)) = \frac{c(\tau)^{1-\gamma} - 1}{1 - \gamma}$$

and the discount factor $\theta(\tau)$ satisfies

$$\rho(\tau) \equiv -\dot{\theta}(\tau)/\theta(\tau). \tag{1}$$

But individuals are actually uncertain about the exact length T of their life. Let $f(T)$ denote the probability density function of the random variable T with support $[0, \Omega]$, where Ω is the finite maximum possible lifetime.

1. Deriving the expected utility function

- (a) Define expected utility of an individual that is uncertain about the length of her life.
- (b) Derive an explicit expression for θ by solving the differential equation 1 with initial condition $\theta(0) = 1$.
- (c) Show that expected utility can be expressed by equation 2. To this end, consider $\int_0^\Omega f(T)U(T)dT$ and apply integration by parts using (equation (4.3.5) in the lecture notes)

$$\int_a^b xgdt = [xg]_a^b - \int_a^b x\dot{g}dt.$$

You can use the definition

$$p(T) \equiv \int_T^\Omega f(z)dz$$

which denotes the probability at birth that an individual will be alive at age T .

2. Use the current value Hamiltonian to maximize the objective function

$$\int_0^\Omega e^{-\int_0^\tau \rho(x)dx} p(\tau)u(c(\tau))d\tau, \tag{2}$$

subject to

$$\dot{a}(\tau) = \left[r - \delta \frac{\dot{p}(\tau)}{p(\tau)} \right] a(\tau) + w(\tau) - c(\tau), \quad (3)$$

$$a(0) = 0, \quad a(\Omega) \geq 0. \quad (4)$$

3. Compute optimal wealth $a(\tau)$ by solving the differential equation (3) using the boundary conditions (4).
4. Derive an explicit expression for $c(\tau)$ by solving the differential equation

$$\dot{c}(\tau) = \sigma \left(r + (1 - \delta) \frac{\dot{p}(\tau)}{p(\tau)} + \frac{\dot{\theta}(\tau)}{\theta(\tau)} \right) c(\tau).$$

Use optimal wealth $a(\tau)$ to derive an expression for $c(0)$.

2 Life is full of choices

The individual's utility function is given by a Kreps-Porteus structure,

$$U(c_0, \tilde{c}_1) = u_0(c_0) + u_1(v^{-1}(E[v(\tilde{c}_1)])), \quad (5)$$

where u_0 , u_1 and v are three increasing functions given by

$$v(z) = \frac{z^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} \quad \text{and} \quad u_1(z) = \beta u_0(z) = \frac{z^{1-\alpha}}{1-\alpha}. \quad (6)$$

1. Derive an explicit expression for the utility function 5 using the functions in 6
2. Maximize the utility function

$$U(c_0, \tilde{c}_1) = \phi \left[c_0^{1-\alpha} + \beta (E[\tilde{c}_1^{1-\gamma}])^{\frac{1-\alpha}{1-\gamma}} \right], \quad (7)$$

(where ϕ is a constant) for $\alpha = \gamma$ subject to the budget constraints

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 &= w_0 - s, \\ \tilde{c}_1 &= w_1 + \tilde{x} + \rho s. \end{aligned}$$

3. Now, maximize the utility function 7 subject to the budget constraints

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 &= w_0 - s, \\ \tilde{c}_1 &= w_1 + \tilde{x} + \rho s, \end{aligned}$$

but this time without assuming $\alpha = \gamma$.

4. Compare the results for $\alpha = \gamma$ and $\alpha \neq \gamma$.